



CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY OF RISK FACTORS FOR CHILDREN'S LANGUAGE AND SPEECH DELAYS

Lilik Ariyanti*, Atur Semartini

Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Nasional, Jl. Raya Solo -Baki, Bangorwo, Kwarasan, Grogol, Sukoharjo, Central Java 57552, Indonesia

*lilik.ariyanti@stikesnas.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Teaching children to talk in both directions and to comprehend speech appropriate for their age is an important part of their language development process. There is a direct correlation between a child's language development and brain growth. Parents and the surrounding environment have an impact on a child's growth. The purpose of this study is to identify the risk factors for children's language and speech delays. This cross-sectional study was conducted at Kindergarten in the Grogol District between November 2022 and January 2023. Purposive sampling was used to get samples. A questionnaire was used to obtain the data. The DENVER sheet was used to measure the development. The results showed that the father was employed (40.8%), the mother had a high school degree (55.1%), and the family's income was below the minimum wage (51%). Having standard deviation of 5.65 years, the average age of the first respondents who used gadgets was 3.27. Most of children used gadget for 30 to 60 minutes each day. The average children (59%), who is younger than 4 years old, utilized a device for the first time for 30 to 60 minutes each day. Children performed this 1-2 times a day (65%). 20% of children were included into the questionable group in terms of language development. According to statistical study, maternal education (p value = 0.021) and family income (p value = 0.005) were related. Family income and maternal education are related to children's language and speech delays.

Keywords: children; delays; development; language; speech

First Received 12 June 2024	Revised 15 June 2024	Accepted 18 June 2024
Final Proof Received 20 June 2024		Published 01 August 2024
How to cite (in APA style) Ariyanti, L., & Semartini, A. (2024). Cross-Sectional Study of Risk Factors for Children's Language and Speech Delays. <i>Indonesian Journal of Global Health Research</i> , 6(4), 2445-2452. https://doi.org/10.37287/ijghr.v6i4.3630 .		

INTRODUCTION

Currently, Indonesia has entered a new phase in terms of interaction with digital technology, especially gadgets. This condition cannot always be interpreted positively. Sometimes technology that is not used properly can also backfire on the user. (Subagijo, 2020). In this era, gadgets are an inseparable part of children's lives. (Nurhafani Erna et al., 2023) Children accept the use of gadgets because of their utility and convenience. However, there are some negative impacts of gadget use in terms of children's social life, health, speech delays, and cognitive skills, which can also affect their education in the long term. (Kurniawati & Sutharjana, 2023; Pasaribu et al., 2023). Language ability is an indicator of a child's overall development, because language ability is sensitive to delays or abnormalities in other systems, such as sensorimotor, psychological, emotional cognitive abilities and the environment around the children. Sensory stimulation from hearing and sight is very important in language development. Children will not be able to talk without support from their environment. They must hear and see conversations related to everyday life as well as knowledge about the world

around them. They must learn to express themselves, share their experiences with others and express their wishes. (Soetjningsih & Ranuh, 2012).

Children's growth and development are influenced by the environment, which can be temporary or permanent and can influence the speed and quality of children's growth and development. The effect can slow down or increase the speed of a children's growth and development. The environment can be biophysical-psychosocial which includes the family, the community around the children, the physical environment, social-cultural and political economy of a country (Soetjningsih & Ranuh, 2012). Many factors influence children's language skills. Delayed gross motor development, exclusive breastfeeding for less than 6 months, media exposure for more than 2 hours daily, and poor social interaction are risk factors for delayed speech development in children. (Tan, et al., 2019). In addition, low parental education, a multilingual environment and inadequate stimulation increase speech delays in children. (Sunderajan & Kanhere, 2019). Male gender, parental age, use of electronic devices, gestational age at birth and low birth weight are the most common risk factors for speech and language delays in children. The knowledge of several risk factors should be a concern for parents to carry out early screening, assessment and intervention (Hoque, et al., 2021).

Currently, many parents have given gadgets to their children early to make them behave better in public (self-control). (Zain et al., 2022) Parents want to ensure that their children don't fall behind in the age of technology. However, parents often forget the fact that, depending on how their kids use them, devices can be damaging or helpful, so it's important to consider both sides of the argument before gifting them. Having a gadget can be good for youngsters since it allows them to express themselves creatively through games on their phones or imaginative apps that stimulate their senses. On the other hand, if children use electronics excessively and without parental supervision, it can lead to addiction and dependence, as well as interfere with their social development. Gadget usage among children is worrisome because the Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC) found that 83.2 percent of Internet users are children between the ages of 5 to 17 years old (Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC), 2017). To illustrate, 93 percent of Internet users are children using smartphones to access WhatsApp, Telegram, and any other applications that have a communication element. Furthermore, studies found that 75 percent of children do not get enough sleep in their daily activities, which would affect their development due to the impact of the use of gadgets (Esther, 2013). Even at the age of one, a child should be able to speak, even if it's just babbling with their parents' assistance. Children who are preoccupied with gadgets tend to respond slowly to every question they are asked, as if they cannot digest the questions quickly. (Zain et al., 2022) This can also affect their vocabulary, as they only know a few words in relation to repetitive words in cartoons and video games. This study aims to identify the risk factors for children's language and speech delays.

METHOD

Cross-sectional study was conducted in Kindergarten in Grogol, Sukoharjo. The study population included all children aged 4-6 years. Data collection took place in November 2022 to January 2023. Parents of all these children were asked to answer a pre-designed, pre-tested and validated questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of questions related to demographic data. Development was checked at the time of contact and recorded on a data collection sheet. Data were collected using a well-structured questionnaire after obtaining informed consent. The questionnaire consisted of a sociodemographic profile, family-based risk factors, and

gadget factors. For quantitative variables, the mean, median and standard deviation were calculated. For qualitative variables, frequency and percentage distribution tables were generated. Data is presented using frequency tables, charts and graphs. Descriptive analysis was used for sociodemographic and categorical data. Variables related to speech and language delays were analyzed using bivariate analysis. *P value* of less than 0.05 and a 95% confidence interval were considered statistically significant

RESULTS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in November 2022 to January 2023 in children aged 4-6 years. Data was collected from 49 children who met the inclusion criteria using a structured questionnaire with purposive sampling technique. From the sociodemographic profile of the sample, the following results were obtained. Table 1 shows the demographic profile of respondents. The mother has completed high school (55.1%), the father works as an employee (40.8%), and the family income is less than the minimum wage (51%).

Tabel 1.
Respondent Demographics

Variable	Category	f	%	<i>P Value</i>
Gender (Children)	Boy	25	51	0.524
	Girl	24	49	
Father's occupation	Trader	3	6.1	0.209
	Farmer	1	2	
	Laborer	11	22.4	
	Employee	20	40.8	
	Other	14	28.6	
Father's Education	Primary School	7	14.3	0.076
	Junior High School	13	26.5	
	Senior High School	26	53.1	
	University	3	6.1	
Family income	< Minimum Wage	24	49	0.005*
	≥ Minimum Wage	25	51	
Mother's education	Primary School	5	10.2	0.021*
	Junior High School	13	26.5	
	Senior High School	27	55.1	
	University	4	8.2	
Mother's occupation	Housewife	12	24.5	0.814
	Government employee	13	26.5	
	Trader	24	49	

* : *p value* <0.05 is statistically significant

The average age of the first using gadgets was 3.27 with a standard deviation of 5.65 years with the majority using gadgets for 30-60 minutes per day.

Table 2.
Descriptive Analysis of Gadget Use Intensity

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percent (%)
Age of First Using Gadgets	2 years old	13	26.53
	3 years old	15	30.61
	4 years old	14	28.57
	5 years old	4	8.16
	6 years old	2	4.08
Intensity of Gadget Use Per Week	1 day/week	5	10.20
	2 day/week	3	6.12
	3 day/week	5	10.20
	4 day/week	2	4.08
	5 day/week	2	4.08
	6 day/week	1	2.04
Intensity of Gadget Use Per day	Everyday	31	63.27
	1 times/day	16	32.65
	2 times/day	16	32.65
	3 times/day	14	28.57
	4 times/day	2	4.08
Gadget Usage Time Per Day	5 times/day	1	2.04
	Less than 30 minute	13	26.53
	30-60 minute	29	59.18
	60-90 minute	1	2.04
Speech Development	90-120 minute	6	12.24
	Normal	39	79.6
	Suspect	10	20.4

Research shows that the average child uses gadgets for the first time at less than 4 years old for 30-60 minutes per day (59%). Children do this 1-2 times per day (65%). The language development of children in the suspect category was 20%.

Table 3.
Gadget and Speech Development

Variable	P value
Age of First Using Gadgets	0.717
Intensity of Gadget Use Per Week	0.522
Intensity of Gadget Use Per day	0.756
Gadget Usage Time Per Day	0.775

Statistical analysis shows that family income and maternal education are significantly related to children's language development, as indicated by a p value <0.05.

DISCUSSION

In our study, speech-language delays were found in 20.4%. Other studies show a higher incidence of speech-language delays in male (Silva et al., 2013) and attributed it to slower language maturation. The central nervous system in boys and also by the influence of testosterone which stops cell death and makes proper connections difficult. However, our study found no gender differences. The language experiences and consequences of toddlers vary greatly. (Law et al., 2017) In the past few decades, research has concentrated on determining how much family socioeconomic status (SES) influences parents' language input to their kids and, in turn, how much the kids learn to speak. (Schwab & Lew-Williams, 2016)

According to our research, a mother's level of education is a strong predictor of speech-language delay. The mother's involvement in the child's growth and development process has a significant impact on the child's developmental stage. Language development is one area of development that is impacted by the mother's presence. According to (Brito, 2017), a home with lots of stimulation is the best setting for language development. It shows that a mother's increased learning of languages frequency and higher levels of stimulation will positively impact her child's language development. (Dwi Lestari et al., 2020) In addition to the stimulation process, the presence of the mother plays a role in teaching communication patterns. (Pramono, 2020) A child will imitate the communication patterns of the people around him, one of which is his mother. (Dwi Lestari et al., 2020).

Even though infants are thought to pick up language quickly, there is a wide range in the way that language and communication skills develop in different kids. Socioeconomic status is a significant contributor to this variability (SES). Compared to their counterparts from higher-SES homes, children from lower-SES families typically exhibit poorer vocabulary growth. (Morgan et al., 2015). Deficits in language learning psychological foundations, which heavily rely on executive functioning and memory, are similarly linked to poverty. (Perkins et al., 2013) Higher-income families engage in more communication with their kids and use speech to initiate conversations more frequently than they do for controlling behavior. (Brandes-Aitken et al., 2019; Evans & Schamberg, 2009) Furthermore, children who have higher family incomes are more proficient in language than children with lower family incomes. (Snowling et al., 2019; Triantoro et al., 2016).

This study reveals that mother's employment does not have a significant effect on children's language development. However, a working mother will have an impact on family income (Purnama & Poncorini, 2024). Children will tend to have good language development in families that have a good income too. Studies that have been conducted prove that mothers who work and have less time with their children can hire a baby sitter or day care provider to improve their child's language development. Several studies have also examined how high-SES families talk to their toddlers differently from mid-SES families, finding that middle-class and upper-middle-class parents (in contrast to working-class parents) tend to talk more, use more word types and word tokens, respond to their children's utterances more frequently in a topic-continuing manner, and pay less attention to controlling their behavior. (Hoff, 2003; Hoff-Ginsberg, 1986) .

In line with technological developments, some studies have been carried out on the relationship between gadgets and children's growth and development. (Zain et al., 2022) states that the use of gadgets has a negative impact on social life, speech delays, and also on the development of children's cognitive skills which will later affect the child's long-term education. The opposite is actually found in this research where the use of gadgets does not have significant effect on children's growth and development, one of which was communication skills. (Nurhafani et al., 2023) claims that the level of gadget use among kindergarten students does not significantly affect their social skills. In other words, the duration of using gadgets has little effect on children's language development because it has little impact on how well they interact with other people. Oktariani(2022) writes that parental (involvement when children use gadgets can help develop children's language and communication skills. Parental involvement in using gadgets will help children in developing their communication skill as children learn to communicate in two directions.

CONCLUSION

Family income and maternal education are related to children's language and speech delay. Children from households with lower socioeconomic status typically acquire their vocabulary more slowly than their counterparts from wealthier socioeconomic backgrounds, and these differences continue throughout school. Opportunities for children to learn language and literacy are favorably connected with their mother's educational background. The higher level of mothers' education of, the greater opportunity of their children to acquire language and literacy.

REFERENCES

- Brandes-Aitken, A., Braren, S., Swingler, M., Voegtline, K., & Blair, C. (2019). Sustained attention in infancy: A foundation for the development of multiple aspects of self-regulation for children in poverty. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, *184*, 192–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2019.04.006>
- Brito, N. H. (2017). Influence of the Home Linguistic Environment on Early Language Development. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *4*(2), 155–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732217720699>
- Dwi Lestari, G., Anugerah Izzati, U., & Indriani, D. E. (2020). The Role of Mother's Communication Patterns on the Children's Language Development. *Talent Development & Excellence Vol....., No....*, pp. <http://www.iratde.com>
- Evans, G. W., & Schamberg, M. A. (2009). Childhood poverty, chronic stress, and adult working memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(16), 6545–6549. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0811910106>
- Hoff, E. (2003). The Specificity of Environmental Influence: Socioeconomic Status Affects Early Vocabulary Development Via Maternal Speech. *Child Development*, *74*(5), 1368–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00612>
- Hoff-Ginsberg, E. (1986). Function and structure in maternal speech: Their relation to the child's development of syntax. *Developmental Psychology*, *22*(2), 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.22.2.155>
- Kurniawati, N. N., & Sutharjana, I. M. (2023). The Influence of Gadgets on The Development of The Early-Age Learners' Behavior and Character. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences*, *1*(2). <https://jayapanguspress.penerbit.org/index.php/IJMS>
- Law, F., Mahr, T., Schneeberg, A., & Edwards, J. (2017). Vocabulary size and auditory word recognition in preschool children. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, *38*(1), 89–125. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0142716416000126>
- Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission (MCMC). (2017). *Communications Multimedia: Facts Figures*. <https://www.mcmc.gov.my/en/resources/statistics>.
- Morgan, P. L., Farkas, G., Hillemeier, M. M., Hammer, C. S., & Maczuga, S. (2015). 24-Month-Old Children With Larger Oral Vocabularies Display Greater Academic and Behavioral Functioning at Kindergarten Entry. *Child Development*, *86*(5), 1351–1370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12398>

- Nurhafani Erna, M., Kurniawati, Y., Pranoto, S., Nuzulia, S., & Pendidikan Usia Dini, J. (2023). *The Influence of Children's Gadget Use Intensity on Their Social Skills*. 17(2), 1693–1602. <https://doi.org/10.21009/JPUD.172.15>
- Nurhafani, M., Kurniawati, Y., Pranoto, S., & Nuzulia, S. (2023). The Influence of Children's Gadget Use Intensity on Their Social Skills. *JPUD - Jurnal Pendidikan Usia Dini*, 17(2), 407–424. <https://doi.org/10.21009/jpud.172.15>
- Oktariani. (2022). *Gadget dan Speech Delay pada Anak Usia Dini Pasca Pandemi Covid 19* *Gadgets and Speech Delay in Early Childhood After the Covid 19 Pandemic*. 3, 175–182. <https://doi.org/10.51849/j-p3k.v3i2.157>
- Pasaribu, A. G., Yusnadi, Y., & Machmud, M. takwin. (2023). Impact of Gadget Use on Speech Delay: Case Study of Toddlers in Tanjung Gusta Village. *International Journal of Educational Practice and Policy*, 42–47. <https://doi.org/10.61220/ijepp.v1i2.0237>
- Perkins, S. C., Finegood, E. D., & Swain, J. E. (2013). Poverty and language development: roles of parenting and stress. *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience*, 10(4), 10–19.
- Pramono, F. (2020). *Analysis of the family's communication pattern and the benefits of mother school program for building a harmonious family*. 50(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.21831/informasi.v50i1>
- Purnama, H. W., & Poncorini, E. (2024). *Effect of Gadget Use on the Development of Language and Speech Ability in Children Under Five*. 09, 239–247.
- Schwab, J. F., & Lew-Williams, C. (2016). Language learning, socioeconomic status, and child-directed speech. *WIREs Cognitive Science*, 7(4), 264–275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1393>
- Silva, G. M. D., Couto, M. I. V., & Molini-Avejonas, D. R. (2013). Risk factors identification in children with speech disorders: pilot study. *CoDAS*, 25(5), 456–462. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S2317-17822013000500010>
- Snowling, M. J., Nash, H. M., Gooch, D. C., Hayiou-Thomas, M. E., & Hulme, C. (2019). Developmental Outcomes for Children at High Risk of Dyslexia and Children With Developmental Language Disorder. *Child Development*, 90(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13216>
- Triantoro, H., Pratomo, A., Adriani, R. B., & Akhyar, M. (2016). Association Between Parental Education, Occupation, Income, Language Activity, and Language Proficiency in Children. *Indonesian Journal of Medicine*, 1(3), 152–159. <https://doi.org/10.26911/theijmed.2016.01.03.02>
- Zain, Z. M., Jasmani, F. N. N., Haris, N. H., & Nurudin, S. M. (2022). Gadgets and Their Impact on Child Development. *International Academic Symposium of Social Science 2022*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2022082006>.

